IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

L.P.A. No. 691 of 2025

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand having office at Project Building, Dhurwa PO Dhurwa PS Jagarnathpur District Ranchi
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Government of Jharkhand, having office at Project Building, Dhurwa, PO Dhurwa PS Jagarnathpur, Dist. Ranchi
3. The Director , Secondary Education, Government of Jharkhand, having office at Project Building, Dhurwa, PO Dhurwa, PS Jagarnathpur, Dist. Ranchi

... Appellants/Respondents

Versus

1. Purnima Kumari Daughter of Kaleshwar Sahu aged about 35 years resident of Hurhuru Chweok Hirhuru Near Durga Mandap, PO & PS Hazaribagh (Jharkhand)
2. The Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission, through its Secretary, having its office at Chaibgan Gali, Kali Nagar, PO & PS Namkum, District Ranchi
3. The Controller of Examination, Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission, having its office at Chaibagan Gali, Kali Nagar, PO & PS Namkum, District Ranchi
4. University Grants Commission (UGC), having office Bahadur Shahjafar Marg, New Delhi, PO & PS New Delhi, Dist. New Delhi-110002 (Delhi)
5. National Council for Teachers Education (NCTE), having office at G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, Near Metro Station, New Delhi, PO & PS Dwarka, District New Delhi-110075 (Delhi)

... Respondents/ Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ PRASAD

For the Appellants : Mr Ashok Kr. Yadav , Advocate
For the Private Respondents: Mr Amritansh Vats, Advocate
Mr Arpan Manjash Ekka, Advocate
For JSSC: Mr Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate
Mr Prince Kumar, Advocate
Mr Jay Prakash, Advocate

For Resp. No.4-UGC: Mr Atnau Banarjee, Advocate
Mr Shezal Gupta, Advcoate

02/Dated: 25.11.2026

***Per* M. S. Sonak, C.J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The challenge in this appeal is to the common judgment and order dated 22.11.2023 passed in W.P(S) Nos. 2466 of 2023, 5104 of 2023 and 2565 of 2023. In L.P.A. No. 538 of 2025, the appeal against the very same judgment and order made in W.P.(S) No. 5104 of 2023 has been dismissed. Following the order in L.P.A. No. 538 of 2025, another Co-ordinate Bench, dismissed LPA No. 684 of 2025 against the very same common judgment and order.

3. Therefore, we are satisfied that the issue raised in this appeal is squarely covered by the Co-ordinate Bench's above referred orders. Following the same, we dismiss this appeal without any order for costs.

4. If any I.As. are pending in this appeal, they are also disposed of since they would not survive after dismissal of the main appeal.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Manoj Prasad, J.)

November 25, 2026

N.A.F.R.

Pramanik/Anjali/Cp.2
Uploaded on 26/11/2026