IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 4607 of 2024

---

1. Mukesh Kumar Yadav aged about 28 years, S/O-Nandkishore Yadav, resident of Sakalpur, P.O.+P.S.- Karmatar, District-Jamtara, Jharkhand
2. Anant Kumar Sharma aged about 35 years, S/O- Sohan Lal Sharma, resident of Badhi para hill road, P.O.+P.S.-Mihijam, Dist- Jamtara, Jharkhand.
3. Rajesh Kumar Mandal aged about 29 years, S/O Subodh Chandra Mandal, resident of Fatehpur, P.O.P.S.- Fatehpur, Dist-Jamtara, Jharkhand.
4. Manoj Kumar Mandal aged about 30 years, S/O- Anath Mandal resident of Bheladaha, Belganjia P.O. P.S. Tasaria, Dist- Dumka, Jharkhand.
5. Tapas Kumar Marandi aged about 34 years, S/O- Surendra Marandi, resident of Chandradipa, P.O.+P.S.-Mihijam, Dist-Jamtara, Jharkhand.
6. Shreemant Kumar aged about 29 years, S/O- Mukti Pada Mandal, resident of Chhota Asna, P.O.- Bamanbandi, P.S.-Fatehpur, Dist- Jamtara, Jharkhand. **…..Petitioners**

-VERSUS-

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Chief Secretary having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. + P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi
2. District commissioner cum District Program Co-ordinator, Jamtara having its office at P.O+P.S.- Jamtara, Dist.- Jamtara
3. The Director, District Rural Development Agency through its Secretary, Jamtara, having its office at P.O.+P.S.- Jamtara, District Jamtara
4. The Secretary, Department of Labour, Employment, Training and Skill Development, Govt of Jhakhand, having its office at Nepal House, P.O.+P.S.-Doranda, Dist.- Ranchi **.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
: Mr. Rohit Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondents: Mr. Ashutosh Anand, Advocate

06/ Dated: 06.05.2026

1. Initially the writ application was preferred for several relief(s), however, now the petitioners confine their prayer in view of the fact that, after the grievance raised by the petitioners and several other similarly situated persons, a committee was formed to see the irregularity with respect to

appointment of Block Program Officer, Computer Assistant and Gram Rozgar Sevak in the district of Jamtara.

2. Pursuant to the grievance raised by the petitioner and others, a committee was constituted and it has also submitted its report, the detail of which is annexed to the supplementary affidavit dated 18.04.2026 filed on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the instant application may be disposed of by directing the concerned respondent to look into the matter and pursue the recommendation of the committee for future action.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent does not have any serious objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of, by directing the respondent no.2 who is the District Commissioner, Jamtara, to consider this writ application as representation wherein in the supplementary affidavit, the report of the committee is annexed; and further directed to move in accordance with law, with regard to the appointment of Block Program Officer, Computer Assistant and Gram Rozgar Sevak in the district of Jamtara.

6. It goes without saying that if after enquiry, if there is any chance of appointment of these petitioners, then appropriate steps shall be taken in this regard, and the same shall be communicated to them.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

Abha/-

06.05.2026