IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 7569 of 2026

Dhiraj Triqunait, S/o Late Prakash Chandra Triqunait, R/o Village & PO-Malkera, PS-Katras, District-Dhanbad

... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Hazaribagh
3. Ther Additional Collector, Hazaribagh
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Hazaribagh
5. The Circle Officer, Tati Jharia, Hazaribagh

… … Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramchander Sahu, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Munna Lal Yadav, SC (L&C)-III
Mr. Deepak Kumar, AC to SC (L&C)-III

Order No. 03 **Dated: 30.09.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the concerned respondents to open jamabandi of the land appertaining to Khata No. 150, Plot No. 630 measuring an area of 4 acres 12 decimals and Plot No. 631 measuring an area of 69 decimals of Mouza-Daharbhanga, Mouza No. 175, Anchal-Tati Jharia, District-Hazaribagh in the name of the petitioner and other co-sharers, to accept rent and issue rent receipts in his favour.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforesaid land is recorded in the name of grandmother of the petitioner namely, Kamla Devi. It is further submitted that during the survey of record of rights, a proceeding under Section 87 of the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act, 1908 was instituted by the petitioner's grandmother Kamla Devi and the said case was decided in her favour in the year 1970. Since then, the

petitioner and other co-sharers have been in possession of the same. The petitioner requested different authorities including the respondent no. 5 – the Circle Officer, Tati Jharia, Hazaribagh seeking mutation of the land in question, however, no action was taken on the same, which compelled him to prefer the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Munna Lal Yadav, learned SC (L&C)-III, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that if the petitioner prefers a fresh application seeking mutation of the land in question before the respondent no. 5, an appropriate decision in accordance with law will be taken.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of prayer made in the writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner is given liberty to prefer fresh application seeking mutation of the land in question before the respondent no. 5. On receipt of the said application, the respondent no. 5, after issuing notices to concerned parties and on providing an opportunity of hearing to them, shall take an appropriate informed decision in accordance with law within three months from the date of filing of the application.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

September 30, 2026
Manish
Uploaded on 01.10.2026