IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 7663 of 2026

Chandra Bose Kumar, aged about 46 years, Son of Badri Prasad, Resident of Punaichak (Sevani), P.O. Dhanrua, P.S. – Patna, District – Patna, Jharkhand

....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Director General of Police, Jharkhand, having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. and P.S. – Dhurwa, District – Ranchi, Jharkhand
3. The Inspector General of Police, Jharkhand, P.O. and P.S. – Ranchi, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Palamau Division at Daltonganj, P.O. and P.S. Daltonganj, District- Palamau, Jharkhand
5. The Superintendent of Police, Latehar, P.O. and P.S. – Latehar, District Latehar, Jharkhand

....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pratyush Shounkiya, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Rahul Saboo, GP-II
Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, AC to GP-II

07/Dated: 26.10.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *"I For order/s, issuance of writ/s, in the nature direction/s of certiorari for quashing of the order contained in Memo No.5522/गो० dated 27.08.2022 (Annexure-4) Departmental proceeding No.12/2022 dated in passed by Superintendent of Police, Latehar, whereby and whereunder for the period of termination has been declared has no work no pay and seized this salary of that period and converted the period of termination as Extra-Ordinary Leave.*
>
> *II. For issuance of writ/s, order/s, direction/s in the nature of mandamus commending upon the respondents to conclude the appeal preferred against the order passed in Memo No. 5522/गो० dated 27.08.2022 (Annexure-4) passed Proceeding No.12/2022 in Departmental passed by Superintendent of Police, Latehar which is pending since 08.11.2023.*
>
> *III. For issuance of writ/s, order/s, direction/s in the nature of mandamus commending upon the respondents to pay the arrear of salary from the period of his termination i.e. 12.07.2014 to 07.04.2021 treated him as in service"*

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the rival parties submits that though the writ application was filed against the order passed by the disciplinary authority, but the appeal which was filed against the impugned order (Annexure-4), is still pending which is at Annexure -5 in this writ application.

4. Having regard to the admitted position that though the petitioner had filed the appeal way back in the year 2023, which was duly received by the respondent on 08.11.2023; however, the same has not yet been disposed.

5. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of by directing the appellate authority (Respondent No. 04) to pass an appropriate order on the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. For brevity, the petitioner shall serve the copy of the appeal, which is also appended to this writ application for quick disposal of his appeal.

7. It goes without saying that if the appellate order will adversely affect the petitioner, he would be at liberty to assail the same before appropriate Bench.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

October, 26th, 2026
Simran/-
Uploaded-29.10.2026