IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 5576 of 2017
------

1. Sharif Alam, son of Md. Jahur Alam, resident of Village - Khorad, PO- Bharthachak, PS- Mahagama, District – Godda (Jharkhand)
2. Md. Neyaz Alam, son of Md Sultan, resident of Village - Logain, PO- Logain, PS- Mahagama, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
3. Md Alimuddin, son of Md Murshid, resident of Village + PO- Parsa, PS- Hanwara, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
4. Md Abdul Quaium, son of Md. Abdul Majeed, resident of Village - Sarotia, PO- Sarbhanga, PS- Mahagama, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
5. Md Sarfaraz Alam, son of Md Izharul Haque, resident of Village - Budhwachak, PO- Mal Mandro, PS- Thakur Gangti, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
6. Md Aslam Ansari, son of Md Shamim Ahmad, resident of Village - Budhwachak, PO- Mal Mandro, PS- Thakur Gangti, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
7. Abdus Sattar Ansari, son of Md Tahiruddin Ansari, resident of Village - Sakrikita Rampur, Boarijor, P.O. & P.S. - Boarijore, Disrict - Godda (Jharkhand)
8. Md Manzar Alam, son of Sanaullah, resident of Village - Budhwachak, PO- Mal Mandro, PS- Thakur Gangti, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
9. Md Jamshed Ansari, son of Md AShfaque Ansari, residing at + PO- Lobandha, PS- Godda (M), District - Godda (Jharkhand)
10. Manzar Siddiqui, son of Md Abdul Majid, resident of Village - Sirsi, PO- Kusmahra, PS- Hanswara, District - Godda (Jharkhand)
11. Md Abdul Raqueeb, son of Abdul Mazid, resident of Village - Logain, PO- Logain, PS- Mahagama, District - Godda (Jharkhand)

.... …. Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Secretary, Rural Development Department, FFP Building, P.O. - Dhurwa, P.S. - Jagarnathpur, District - Ranchi (Jharkhand)
3. Deputy Commissioner, Godda, P.O. + P.S. & District – Godda
4. District Rural Development Authority, Godda through its Chairman, having its office at P.O. + P.S. & District – Godda
5. Deputy Development Commissioner, Godda, P.O. + P.S. & District - Godda (Jharkhand) .... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kanishka Deo, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Piyush Anand, AC to SC-VI
Mr. Rituraj, AC to SC-VI

Order No.06/Dated: 27.10.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for following relief: -

"For issuance of appropriate writ(s)/order(s)/direction(s) directing the concerned respondents-authorities to issue

> *appointment letters to the petitioners as the petitioners' names figure in the final merit list and other candidates whose names along with the petitioners and below the petitioners in the same merit list, have already been issued appointment letters."*

3. A supplementary counter-affidavit dated 27.02.2023 has been filed by the respondent nos. 3 to 5. Relevant paragraph No. 6 of the said supplementary counter-affidavit is quoted herein below: -

> *"6. That it is stated and humbly submitted that all the 11 writ petitioners, Sharif Alam & 10 others have already been appointed as Gram Rojgar Sevak Vide Memo No. 449/N, DRDA, 450/N, DRDA, 451/N, DRDA,452/N, DRDA, 453/N, DRDA, 454/N, DRDA, 455/N, DRDA, 456/N, DRDA, 457/N, DRDA and 458/N, DRDA all Dated 17.08.2021 of the Deputy Commissioner, Godda and they are in active duty."*

4. In that view of the matter, it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the present writ petition has become infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(**Rajesh Kumar, J.**)

27.10.2026
Vedanti/
Uploaded on 28.10.2026