IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Civil Review No. 70 of 2026

Vivek Kumar, aged about 42 years, Son of- Jai Mangal Sahu, Resident of- Church Road, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S.- Lower Bazar, District Ranchi, Jharkhand**.....Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Principal Secretary School Education and Literacy Department, Govt. of Jharkhand having its office at Project Bhawan, P.O, & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. The Director, Primary Education (Directorate of Education), School Education and Literacy Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, having its office at Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. The Deputy Commissioner- Cum- Chairman, District Education Established Committee, Ranchi, P.O., P.S. & District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. The District Superintendent of Education, Ranchi, P.O., P.S. & District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.. **...Respondents**.

--

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner(s) : M/s. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Ranjit Kumar, Advocates

For the Respondents : Mr. Kumar Pawan,A.C to S.C(Mines)-III

--

02/18.09.2026 This review application has been preferred by the petitioner for making necessary correction in paras-3 of the order dated 13.05.2026 passed in W.P(S) No. 823 of 2026, wherein there is a statement that pursuant to supplementary counter affidavit all seats were filled-up and entire process was over long before.

2. Ld. Counsel representing the petitioner submits that since on the date of hearing, he could not appear before the court and it was not pointed out that in supplementary counter affidavit there was a statement in

para-8 that one vacancy still exists in the category of Non-Para Graduate Arts (B.C Category).

3. Having regard to the above, Ld. Counsel submits that necessary correction is required and pursuant thereto; the petitioner may be granted liberty to approach the concerned respondent and if he would be under consideration zone, he may be considered.

4. A Counter affidavit has been filed in this review application, wherein it has been admitted that one seat was vacant at that time and the same could not have been brought to the notice of the Court. However, at present, it has also been stated in supplementary counter affidavit that though one seat is vacant, but the petitioner's name is in waiting list at serial no. 16.

5. Having regard to the above, the instant review application stands disposed of with the modification in paras-3 and 4 of the order dated 13.05.2026 passed in W.P.(S) No. 823 of 2026 to the extent that the same be read as follows:

> *"Since as per supplementary counter affidavit one seat is vacant; accordingly, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the concerned respondent for redressal of his grievance if till date of his appearance, the seat is vacant.*

6. Accordingly, paras 3 and 4 may be modified with the above noted paragraph. Accordingly, the review application stands disposed of.

7. It goes without saying that if the petitioner will approach the concerned respondent within a period of 4 weeks from the date of uploading of this order, his case shall be considered by the concerned respondent within a period of 12 weeks.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

18th September, 2026
Jk
Uploaded on
29/09/2026