IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 1346 of 2025

Janeshwar Prasad Singh, son of Late Sundar Singh, resident of Village Karkatta, Vishrampur, P.O. & P.S.- Khelari, District- Ranchi … … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sri Ram Narayan Singh, Additional Collector, Ranchi

…. … Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amar Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Kishore Kumar Singh, S.C.-V

Order No. 04 **Dated: 01.05.2026**

The present contempt petition has been filed for initiation of contempt proceeding as against the opposite party no. 2 alleging willful violation of order dated 01.07.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 3159 of 2020.

2. Mr. K.K. Singh, learned S.C.-V appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2, refers to the minutes of the meeting of a committee headed by the Additional Collector, Ranchi dated 24.04.2026 and submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order passed in W.P.(C) No. 3159 of 2020, the petitioner's claim for verification of the revenue records and for payment of compensation for acquisition of the land in question has been considered and the same has been recommended to be rejected on the ground that the documents such as rent receipt etc. have been found to be suspicious.

3. It is thus submitted that the aforesaid order of this Court has substantially been complied.

4. As against this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the minutes of meeting dated 24.04.2026, whereby the committee has made recommendation to reject the petitioner's said claim, is completely illegal and arbitrary.

5. Be that as it may. Since the committee has already made recommendation as has been mentioned hereinabove and now the Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi has to pass final order in this regard, I see no reason to proceed further in the present contempt matter.

6. The contempt proceeding as against the opposite party no. 2 is hereby dropped.

7. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

8. The petitioner is however at liberty to challenge the recommendation of the committee as contained in the minutes of the meeting dated 24.04.2026.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

May 01, 2026
Ritesh/
Uploaded on 01.05.2026