[2026:JHHC:32747]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.2261 of 2026

Sonu Kumar Sharan @ S. K. Saran, aged about 40 years, son of Late Dilip Kumar Sharan, resident of House No.21, village Gadke, P.O. Rajaulatu, P.S. Namkum, District Ranchi, Jharkhand

… Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand

2. Manish Kumar, aged 52 years, son of Anirudh Prasad Ambastha, resident of Flat No. 101/C, Ashish Enclave, K. M. Road, Lalpur, P.O. and P.S. Lalpur, District Ranchi (Jharkhand)

… Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Vidhika Saboo, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Prabir Kr. Chatterjee, Spl. P.P.
For the O.P. No.2 : Mr. Nitish Krishna, Advocate

Order No:-04 Dated:-06-11-2026

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer for restoration of Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 26.03.2026 passed by this Court.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 26.03.2026 passed by this Court to remove the defects pointed out by the stamp reporter. It is next submitted that due to inadvertence, the defects could not be removed within the stipulated time, hence, the same resulted in dismissal of the said Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) for non-compliance of the said peremptory order dated 26.03.2026 passed by this Court. It is next submitted that non-compliance of the said

peremptory order dated 26.03.2026 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) be restored to its original file.

Learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the State has not raised any serious objection.

Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for restoration of the said Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) and submits that the opposite party No.2 will unnecessarily be harassed if the same is restored to its original file, hence, the opposite party No.2 be compensated adequately.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- by the petitioner to the opposite party No.2 through his counsel appearing in the record within four weeks from the date of this order.

Registry is directed to list Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) before the concerned Bench after four weeks only if the petitioner files the proof of payment of the cost of Rs.5,000/- to the opposite party No.2 though his counsel appearing in the record within four weeks from the date of this order failing which this conditional order shall not be given effect to and Cr.M.P. No.10340 of 2025 (Filing Number) shall remain dismissed.

This criminal miscellaneous petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-06.11.2026-Animesh/