IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 7371 of 2026

---

1. Ranjeet Kumar Pandey, aged about 41 years, Son of Hare Krishna Pandey, resident of Village – Manakdiha, P.O. – Chatro, P.S. – Deori, District – Giridih.
2. Ranjit Kumar Sharma, aged about 39 years, Son of Suresh Kumar Sharma, resident of Village – Choudhribandh, P.O. – Choudhribandh, P.S. – Bagodar, District – Giridih
3. Rashmi Sharma, aged about 34 years, daughter of Ramesh Chandra Sharma, permanent resident of Village – Hesla, P.O. – Hesla, P.S. – Bagodar, District – Giridih, present resident of Narayan Niwas, Mahavir Nagar, Dumardaga, P.O. – Booty, P.S. – Khelgaon, District – Ranchi
4. Kanchan Kumari Gupta, aged about 35 years wife of Raj Kishor Kumar, resident of village – Sanjay Nagar Colony Ratu, P.O. & P.S. Ratu, District- Ranchi.
5. Anima Devi @ Anima Kumari, aged about 39 years, wife of Manish Prakash, resident of Village – Tandmohanpur, P.O. & P.S. Bandhdih, District – Bokaro
6. Mukesh Kumar, aged about 36 years, Son of Rajendra Dangi, resident of Village- Chontha, P.O. & P.S. – Itkhori, District – Chatra. .....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Chief Secretary, Project Building, P.O. & P.S. – Dhurwa, District – Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. Secretary, Department of Personnel Administrative Reforms & Rajbhasha, Project Building, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission through its Chairman, Kali Nagar Chaibagan, P.O. & P.S. – Namkum, District – Ranchi, Jharkhand
4. Controller of Examination, Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission through its Chairman, Kali Nagar Chaibagan, P.O. & P.S. Namkum, District –Ranchi, Jharkhand.

.....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Avilash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Tejo Mistri, Advocate
Mr. Sanjoy Piprawal, Advocate
Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Jay Prakash, Advocate

02/Dated:-17.09.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in this writ application is same and similar with that of W.P. (S) No. 582 of 2023 with analogous cases in which the petitioners were given liberty to approach the One-Man Fact Finding Commission; accordingly, he prays that the instant writ application may be disposed of by granting the aforesaid liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents does not oppose the aforesaid contention.

3. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the One-Man Fact Finding Commission.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

SEPTEMBER 17th, 2026
Simran/-
Uploaded-28.09.2026