(2026:JHHC:33233)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.2231 of 2026
------

1. Kishori Mahto alias Kesho Mahto S/o Late Heman Mahto, aged about 71 years,
2. Jamni Devi W/o Kesho Mahto, aged about 70 years,
3. Prayag Mahto, S/o Kesho Mahto, aged about 49 years,
4. Shankar Mahto, S/o Kesho Mahto, aged about 45 years,
5. Teko Mahto S/o Ghaman Mahto, son in law of Kamal Mahto, aged about 48 years,
6. Kamal Mahto S/o Late Heman Mahto, aged about 75 years,
7. Indra Mahto S/o Hari Mahto, aged about 53 years,
8. Banshi Mahto S/o Late Rudo Mahto, aged about 51 years,
   Presently R/o village-Dariya, P.O.-Dariya, P.S.-Ichak, Dist.-Hazaribagh, Jharkhand, Pin Code-825402 and he was earlier R/o Vill-Dadha, P.O.-Dadha, P.S.-Ichak, Dist.-Hazaribagh.
   All except Petitioner No.8 are the residents of Village-Dadha, P.O.-Darha, P.S.-Ichak, Dist.-Hazaribagh, Jharkhand, Pin Code-825402.

… Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Tileshwari Devi W/o Jalal Mahto, presently residing at residents of Village-Dadha, P.O.-Dadha, P.S.-Ichak, Dist.-Hazaribagh, State-Jharkhand, earlier she was residing at Village-Bankakhurd, P.O.-Ratanpur, P.S.-Ichak, Dist.-Hazaribagh.

… Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Priyanshu Nilesh, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Kumari Rashmi, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-20-11-2026

Heard the parties.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioners with the prayer for restoration of Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 12.03.2026.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 12.03.2026 for filing the consolidated criminal

miscellaneous petition peremptorily within two weeks. It is next submitted that due to mistake on the part of the advocate's clerk, the petitioners could not file the said consolidated criminal miscellaneous petition within the stipulated time; which resulted in dismissal of the said Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 12.03.2026. It is next submitted that non-compliance of peremptory order dated 12.03.2026 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioners have very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel appearing for the State has not raised any serious objection.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the facts of this case, Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Cr.M.P. No.3705 of 2025 before the concerned Bench after a week.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

20/11/2026
Abhiraj/