IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 6825 of 2011

Mrs. Beena Devi, wife of Birju Gupta, resident of Shivpuri Colony, Hinoo, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, Distt.- Ranchi **... Petitioner**

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Presiding Officer, S.A.R. Court, Ranchi
3. The Additional Collector, Ranchi, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, Distt.- Ranchi
4. The Commissioner, South Chotanagpur Division, Ranchi, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi
5. Kamal Oraon
6. Nawal Oraon
7. Sunil Oraon
8. Nirmal Oraon
9. Anil Oraon
   Respondent Nos. 5 to 9 are sons of Late Mahavir Oraon, R/o Shivpuri Colony, P.O. Hinoo, P.S. Doranda, Distt.- Ranchi
10. Porabir Das Gupta, son of Late Hiramya Kumar Dasgupta, resident of Shivpuri Colony, P.O. Hinoo, P.S. Doranda, Distt.- Ranchi

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : None
For the State : Mr. Shaantanu Gupta, A.C. to A.A.G.-III

10/27.10.2026 On repeated calls, nobody has responded on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State is present.

2. On 16.01.2024, this writ petition was dismissed for non-prosecution and subsequently, it has been restored vide order dated 14.11.2025 passed in C.M.P. No.190 of 2024.

3. On 13.01.2026, nobody had appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated calls and the matter was adjourned.

4. On 26.03.2026, the matter was further adjourned on the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. On 02.04.2026, it was pointed out that the counsel who was conducting the matter on behalf of the petitioner, has left for her heavenly

abode and on that day, notices have been directed to be issued upon respondent nos. 5 to 9.

6. Office note dated 04.06.2026/10.08.2026 suggests that notices upon respondent nos. 5 to 9 have been served through the family members.

7. Vide order dated 18.08.2026, further opportunity was provided to respondent nos. 5 to 9 to appear and notice was directed to be issued upon respondent no.10.

8. Office note dated 09.09.2026/24.10.2026 suggests that notice upon respondent no.10 has been served.

9. Today again on repeated calls, nobody has responded on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondent nos.5 to 10.

10. This matter is of the year 2011. It transpires that both the sides have lost interest in the matter and, as such, this writ petition is, hereby, dismissed.

11. Pending I.A., if any, is disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 27th October, 2026
Ajay/