IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.1674 of 2026

---

1. Ravi Rana @ Ravi Shankar Rana, aged about 59 years, son of Late Tribhuvan Nath Rana
2. Shashi Rana @ Shashi Kumar Rana, aged about 53 years, son of Late Tribhuvan Nath Rana
3. Punam Devi @ Punam Kumari, aged about 41 years, wife of Shashi Kumar Rana.

   All are residents of Village: Jarmune Chatti, PO & PS: Bagodar, District – Giridih.

… Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Ujjwal Kumar Gupta, son of Gopal Prasad Gupta, resident of Village: Baray, PO: Baray, PS: Bishnugarh, District-Hazaribagh.

… Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ashim Kr. Sahani, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, Addl.P.P.
For the O.P. No.2 : None

Order No:-04 Dated:-11-09-2026

Heard the parties.

Though notice has been validly served upon the opposite party No.2 yet no one turns up on behalf of the opposite party No.2 in spite of repeated calls.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioners with the prayer for restoration of Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 09.04.2026.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 was dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 09.04.2026. It is next submitted that prayer for time of the petitioner of Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 was allowed subject to deposit of Rs.2,000/- by the petitioners with JHALSA within three weeks but inadvertently, the said deposit could not be made within the stipulated time as the counsel missed to take note of the said peremptory order; which resulted in dismissal for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 09.04.2026. Now the petitioners made the said deposit and the copy of which has been annexed with supplementary affidavit. It is further submitted that non-appearance of the learned counsel for the petitioners was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioners have very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel for the State has not raised any serious objection to the prayer of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners, Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Cr.M.P. No. 3327 of 2023 before the appropriate Bench after a week.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 11.09.2026
Saroj/