[2026:JHHC:31905]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.3220 of 2026

---

1. Raju Kumhar, son of Late Dukhu Kumar, aged about 39 years,
2. Santu @ Santosh Mahto @ Santosh Kumar Mahto, Son of Late Rampad Mahto aged about 53 years,
3. Tirtho Nath Mahto @ Tirath Nath Mahto, Son of Late Birn Mahto, aged about 57 years.

All are resident of Village- Lodmu, P.O. & P.S. Silli, District- Ranchi.

… Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Ms. Manjusri Patra, Advocate
Mr. Vidhan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P. P.

Order No:-002 Dated:-30-10-2026

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioners with the prayer for restoration of Cr.Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 20.03.2026 passed by this Court.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 20.03.2026 passed by this Court to file the proof of deposit of Rs.1,000/- with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA). It is next submitted that due to inadvertence, the said amount could not be deposited within the stipulated time which resulted in dismissal of the said Cr. Appeal

(SJ) No. 90 of 2009 for non-compliance of the said peremptory order dated 20.03.2026 passed by this Court. It is also submitted that the said amount has already been deposited the proof of the same has also been filed. It is next submitted that non-compliance of the said peremptory order dated 20.03.2026 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioners have very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 be restored to its original file.

Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State has not raised any serious objection.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners, Cr.Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Cr.Appeal (SJ) No. 90 of 2009 before the concerned Bench after a week.

This criminal miscellaneous petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-30.10.2026
Amar/