**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**
**W.P.(C) No. 3837 of 2009**

1(a)Vinod Oraon, son of late Baldeo Oraon aged about 41 years
1(b) Manoj Tirkey, son of late Baldeo Oraon, aged about 43 years
1(c) Gautam Oraon, son of late Baldeo Oraon aged about 37 years
1(d) Arjun Oraon, son of late Baldeo Oraon aged about 34 years
All residents of village Udasu, P.O. and P.S. Tandwa, District Chatra
1(e) Gyanti Kujur daughter of late Baldeo Oraon, wife of Sri Vijay Kujur, aged about 34 years, resident of village Baski, P.O. Murma, P.S. Mandar, District-Ranchi .....Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribag
3. The Additional Collector, Hazaribagh (Now Chatra).
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Hazaribagh (Now Chatra)

5.(a) Islam Chouse, son of late Usman Ali, aged about 62 years
5(b)Md. Aslam, son of late Usman Ali, aged about 48 years
5(c) Md. Muslim, son of late Usman Ali, aged about 46 years
All are residents of village Udasu, P.O. and P.S. Tandwa, District-Chatra

..... ... Respondents

---

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

For the Petitioners :Mr. Prabhat Kr. Sinha, Advocate
For the Resp.-State :Ms. Rishi Bharati, A.C. to AAG-III
Ms. Priyasha Priyadarshani, A.C. to A.A.G-III
For the Substituted Resp. No. 5 :Mrs. Ritu Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Samavesh Bhanj Deo, Advocate
Mr. Raj, Advocate, Advocate

**13/ 27.04.2026**: This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing the order dated 7.7.2009 passed by learned Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribagh (Respondent No. 2), whereby the Respondent No. 2 has been pleased to dismiss the land restoration revision No. 127/2003 filed by the petitioner solely on the ground of limitation, and further for quashing of order dated 18.8.1982 passed by the Additional Collector, Hazaribagh in restoration Appeal No. 7/1982, whereby the Respondent no. 3 was pleased to allow the appeal filed by the Respondent No. 5 and set aside the order dated 23.2.1981 passed by the Respondent No. 4 in Land Restoration Case No. 23/1980-81, whereby the Respondent No. 4 was pleased to restore the lands of Khata No. 41/1, Plot No. 375 measuring an area of 1.37 acres of Village Ursu in favour of the petitioner.

2. In course of the argument, it has transpired that another suit being Original Suit No. 43 of 2018 has been filed by the petitioners before the learned Court of Sub-Judge-1, Chatra for right, title and possession over the land pertaining to khata no. 41, plot nos. 375 & 376, 1.31 acres and 0.30 acres of land against that restoration case has been rejected by the concerned authority which is subject matter of the present writ petition.

3. In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to take all the grounds in the said suit which is already pending.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-State and the legal heirs and successors of respondent no.5 have got no objection.

5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. Pending I.A, if any, stands disposed of.

Dt.27.04.2026

Satyarthi/- **( Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**