IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.1958 of 2026

Pratibha Rani @ Pratima Rani, W/o Anirudh Singh, aged about 38 years, r/o Village Dhoriahi, P.O.-Baheri, P.S.-Hasanpur, District-Samastipur (Bihar), at present Mohalla Rambag, East of Kankali Temple, Darbhanga, P.O.-KSDSU (Lalbagh), P.S.-L.N.M.U., District-Darbhanga (Bihar).

… Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Kumari Arpana, W/o Santosh Kumar, aged about 32 years, at present residing in Mohalla Kamalkothi, Purandaha Bye Pass Road, Deoghar, P.O.-Deoghar, P.S.-Deoghar Town, District-Deoghar.

… Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Vishwanath Roy, Spl.P.P.
For the OP 2 : Ms. Shivani Jaluka, Advocate

Order No:-03 Dated:-11-09-2026

Heard the parties.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer for restoration of Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 20.02.2026.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 20.02.2026 for depositing the payment of Rs.4,000/- with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within four weeks. It is next submitted that due to inadvertence and miscommunication, the petitioner could not deposit the said amount within the stipulated time; which resulted in dismissal of the said Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 20.02.2026. It is next submitted that non-compliance of peremptory order dated 20.02.2026 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also

submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 has not raised any serious objection.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the facts of this case, Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Cr.M.P. No.3390 of 2023 before the concerned Bench after a week.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

11/09/2026
Abhiraj/