IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 608 of 2009

Vijay Kumar Mallik, S/o late Inder Lal Mallik, Resident of 26, Engineers' Enclave, P.O. + P.S. Pitampura, Delhi - 34

… … Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I**… … Respondent**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Shekhar Sinha, Advocate
: Mr. Apurv, Advocate
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, Advocate
For the CBI : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
: Ms. Shivani Jaluka, AC to ASGI

03/**23.09.2026** Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This Criminal Appeal (SJ) has been filed against the judgment of conviction dated 30.06.2009 and order of sentence dated 02.07.2009 passed in Case No.R.C.53A/1996 by the learned Special Judge-II, C.B.I. (A.H.D. Scam Cases), Ranchi. By the said judgment, the appellant was convicted for the offences punishable under Section 120B read with Sections 409, 420, 467, 468 and 471, 474 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 jointly and individually under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 read with Sections 465 & 474 of Indian Penal Code.

3. The appellant was sentenced to undergo R.I. for 5 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 10,00,000/- and in default of payment of fine to undergo S.I. for 6 months for offence punishable u/s 120-B r/w 409/420/467/468/471 and 474 of I.P.C., R.I. for 4 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 3,00,000/- and in default of payment of fine to undergo S.I. for 3 months for each offence punishable u/s 420/467/468/474 I.P.C. Further sentenced to undergo R.I. for 2 years and to pay a fine of Rs.3,00,000/- and in default of payment of fine to undergo S.I. for 3 months for the offence u/s 471 r/w 465 I.P.C. However, the learned court passed order that the sentences shall run concurrently.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has expired and their legal heirs do not want to pursue the appeal, but the death certificate has been forwarded to the learned

counsel for the appellant which has been placed on record along with the mention slip. He submits that accordingly this appeal has abated and the learned counsel for the appellant does not want to press the present appeal.

5. Learned counsel for the CBI has no objection so far as the aforesaid prayer of the appellant is concerned.

6. Considering the submissions, this appeal is treated as abated.

7. Pending interlocutory application, if any, is dismissed as not pressed.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through Fax/email.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of order: 23.09.2026
Date of uploading: 29.09.2026
Saurav/-