IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. no. 3750 of 2026

Naresh, aged about 28 years son of Jiya Ram, resident of Village Bhojpur, P.O. Kadat Chowk, P.S. Kadarchauk, District- Badaun (U.P.). … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. D.K.Chakraverty , Adv.
For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen , Addl. PP

02 / 05.05.2026 Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Bermo P. S. case no. 165 of 2024 instituted under Section 303(2) & 334 (1) of BNS, 2023.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed theft of gold and silver ornaments from the shop of the informant. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons and except the fact that the petitioner led to recovery of the stolen property as well as the implements used for cutting the shutter of the shop recovered from the rented room of the petitioner, there is no material in the record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 09.02.2026, as mentioned in para 13 of this bail application. It is next submitted by learned counsel for

the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case

hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner is having five criminal antecedents and out of which in one case, the petitioner is still in custody. It is next submitted that the petitioner is a threat to the law and order situation of the locality and there is every chance of the petitioner absconding, if released on bail and also there is chance of the petitioner tampering with the evidence. It is therefore submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the fact that, he is a threat to the law and order situation in the locality as well as the chance of his tampering with the evidence and absconding, if released on bail as also his criminal antecedent, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Dated 05.05.2026
Smita/-