[2026:JHHC:13717]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3790 of 2026

Vivek Kumar Manna @ Vicky aged about 24 years Son of Sukumar Manna, Resident of Road No.1, Sankosai, Dimna Road, Mango, P.O. Mango, P.S. Olidih, Town Jamshedpur, District- East Singhbhum.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Azeemuddin, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 07-05-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Chandil P.S. Case No. 199 of 2025 instituted under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 118(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of the common intention with the co-accused person and in criminal conspiracy with them, have committed murder of Bijay Tirkey. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as mentioned in paragraph 13 of the bail application and he has been implicated in this case on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused and except of the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no other material to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that the co-accused, with similar allegations, has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 17.04.2026 passed in B.A. No.3087 of 2026. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 13.02.2026, as mentioned in para 12 of this bail application. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is

ready and willing to cooperate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case hence, the petitioner may be admitted to regular bail. Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail. Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (SDJM) at Chandil in connection with Chandil P.S. Case No. 199 of 2025 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the trial of the case in any manner, during trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

07/05/2026
Amar/