IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 7182 of 2026

Md. Ishaque Ansari, Son of Late Sirajuddin Ansari, aged about 75 years, Resident of Village-Khutari, Post-Sarbhanga, P.S. Mahagama, District-Godda, Jharkhand-814154. .....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Ranchi-834004.
3. The Secretary, Lokayukt, Government of Jharkhand having its office at Near Karam Toli Chowk, Booty Road, P.O.-Morabadi, P.S.-Bariatu, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand 834008.
4. The Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy Department (Madrasa Education) Jharkhand its office at M.D.I. Building, Dhurwa, P.O.-Dhurwa, P.S.-Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi-834004.
5. The Deputy Commissioner, District Collectorate Building, District Administration, Near District Court P.O. & P.S. Godda, District-Godda-814133.
6. The Jharkhand Academic Council through its Secretary office at Gyandeep Campus, Bargawan, Near Sirkha Toli, Tata Road, P.O. & P.S.- Namkum, District-Ranchi-834010.
7. The District Education Officer (DEO), office at D.C. Office Compound, P.O. & P.S. - Godda, District-Godda -814133.
8. The District Superintendent of Education (DSE), office at D.C. Office Complex P.O. & P.S.-Godda, District-Godda-814133.
9. The Madrsa Mominee Madhupur through its Secretary, Khuthari, P.O.-Sarbhanga, P.S.-Mahgama, District-Godda-814165.
10. The Secretary, Madrsa Mominee, Madhupur, Khuthari, P.O.-Sarbhanga, P.S.-Mahgama, District-Godda-814165.
11. The Secretary, Jharkhand Legislative Assembly Secretariate, New Vidhan Sabha Bhawan, Kute, P.O.-Dhurwa, P.S.-Jagarnnathpur, District-Ranchi-834004. .....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Afaque Rashidi, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Binit Chandra, A.C. to AAG-III
Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate
Ms. Vidhika Saboo, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

02/Dated:-15.09.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

a) For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s), preferably in the nature of mandamus, directing and commanding the Respondent No. 2 (Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand) to appoint the Lokayukta, Government of Jharkhand, forthwith to dispose of Complaint

Case No. 01/Lok (Siksha)/01/2020 filed under the provisions of the Jharkhand Lokayukta Act, 2001.

b) *For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s), directing and commanding the Respondent No. 3 (Secretary, Lokayukta, Jharkhand) to take necessary legal action against the District Superintendent of Education, Godda (Respondent No. 8), who has failed to submit the enquiry report in the office of the Lokayukta, Jharkhand, as directed vide Memo No. 01/Lok (Siksha)01/2020/644, Ranchi dated 10.02.2021 issued by the Joint Secretary, Office of the Lokayukta, Ranchi.*

c) *For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s), directing and commanding the concerned Respondent(s) to cancel/quash the illegal advertisement issued by Respondent No. 10 for recruitment of teachers on the posts of Alim Trained and Matric Trained against the vacancy advertised vide Advertisement published in newspaper in light of direction for appointment dated 12.08.2025 (Annexure-12) as the same is in gross violation of the Madrasa Service Conditions Rules, 1977, the NCTE Act, 1993 read with the NCTE (Amendment) Act, 2011, and to stay all further process of selection/appointment till a proper enquiry is conducted into the serious allegations of maladministration, corruption and fraudulent managing committee.*

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits the instant writ application may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the concerned respondent and direct the respondents to look into the grievance raised by the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the petitioner to file a fresh representation along with all supporting documents before the concerned respondent. The moment the respondent will receive such representation, he shall look into the grievance of the petitioner and take a decision by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation and communicate the same to the petitioner.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

SEPTEMBER 15, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
22/09/2026