IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3461 of 2026

Sagar Kr. Mehta @ Raja Kr. Mehta @ Sagar Kumar Mehta @ Raja Kumar Mehta, aged about 23 years, son of Santosh Kumar Mehta, resident of Village Champadih, P.O. Surajpura, P.S. Barhi, District Hazaribagh (Jharkhand).

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sahil, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-27-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 369 of 2025 arising out of Barhi P.S. Case no. 116 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238(a), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27 and 35 of the Arms Act.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail. Earlier, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 26.11.2025 passed in B.A. No. 8643 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fresh ground is that in the meanwhile, three witnesses including the informant of the case have been examined and they have categorically stated that it is the co-accused Golu Kumar and Ravi Mehta who have committed the murder of Praveen Kumar and they have not stated anything about the petitioner to implicate him in this case. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 15.04.2025 as is evident from para-14 of the instant bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned

in para-13 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge-X, Hazaribagh in connection with S.T. Case No. 369 of 2025 arising out of Barhi P.S. Case no. 116 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 27.04.2026
Saroj/