

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**W.P.(S). No. 3024 of 2026**

-
1. Hakim Pandit, aged about 77 years, son of Sudarsan Pandit, resident of Dwarika Puru, Road No. 13, P.O. Krishnapur, P.S. Chutia, Dist. Ranchi.
 2. Yugal Prasad, aged about 75 years, son of Laljee Chowdhary, resident of Chotamuri, Singhbpur, P.O. and P.S. Silli, Dist. Ranchi.
- **Petitioners**

Versus

1. Union of India through the General Manager, South Eastern Railway, P.O. and P.S. Garden Reach, Kolkata-700043, West Bengal.
 2. The I.G-cum-Chief Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, P.O. and P.S. Garden Reach, Kolkata-700043, West Bengal.
 3. Sr. DSC, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Adra, P.O. and P.S. Adra, Dist. Purulia, West Bengal.
 4. The Divisional Railway Manager, South Eastern Railway, Ranchi Division, Hatia, P.O. and P.S. Hatia, Dist. Ranchi.
 5. The Divisional Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Ranchi Division, P.O. and P.S. Chutia, Dist. Ranchi.
- **Respondents.**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Akshay Kr. Mahato, Advocate
 For the Resp.-UoI : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
 Mr. Bajrang Kumar, AC to ASGI

02/ 28.04.2026

The instant application has been preferred by the petitioners for a direction upon the respondents to grant 3rd MACP on completion of 30 years of service for the reason that the same has been granted to other employees and the petitioners have been denied.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are duly entitled for 3rd MACP on completion of 30 years of service. He further submits that the respondents have granted the benefits of 3rd MACP to other similarly situated employees but without any reason, they have not extended the same to the present petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since no counter-affidavit has been filed; as such, this case may be disposed of in view of the Annexure-4 to the writ application and if the case of present petitioner is found same and similar to that of petitioner of *W.P.(S). No. 78 of 2020*, similar benefits shall be extended to them.

4. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions, the instant application stands disposed of by directing the respondent No. 4 to treat this writ application as representations and take a decision with regard to the claim made by the respective petitioners in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations and also in view of the order passed by this Court in *W.P.(S). No. 78 of 2020 (Achha Oraon Vs. Union of India & Ors.)*, by passing reasoned and speaking order(s) within a period of 10 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. One of the petitioners is directed to serve a copy of this writ application to the concerned respondent so that their grievances can be redressed at the earliest.

5. If the decision is taken in favour of the petitioners, the consequential benefits shall be given to them within a further period of four weeks.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

28th April, 2026
kunal/-
Uploaded on 21.05.2026