IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2246 of 2026

xxxx

..... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Victim

..... … Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailendra Jit, Advocate.
For the State : None.

04/ 06.05.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Nobody has responded on behalf of the State in spite of repeated calls.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bishnugarh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 76 and 109 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Hazaribag.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner happened to be the father-in-law of the informant and there is family dispute. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Nobody has responded on behalf of the State in spite of repeated calls.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it transpires that there is direct allegation against this petitioner, who happened to be the father-in-law of the informant of outraging the modesty of the informant, who happened to be the daughter-in-law of this petitioner.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-06.05.2026
Amitesh/-