IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J) No.546 of 2026

---

1. Sanjay Yadav, aged about 32 years, Son of Rameshi Yadav
2. Kuldeep Yadav, aged about 27 years, Son of Devraj Yadav
Both are resident of Village Saipur, P.O. & P.S. Hunterganj, District Chatra

…. …. Appellant(s)

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Ramshish Paswan, son of Kapil Paswan, resident of Village Saipur, P.O. & P.S. Hunterganj, District Chatra

…. …. Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellants : Mr. Kumar Nishant, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Santosh Kumar Shukla, A.P.P
For the Respondent No.2 : Mr. Vikram Singh, Adv.
: Ms. Apoorva Singh, Amicus Curiae

05/Dated: 10th September, 2026

1. In compliance of the order dated 02.09.2026, Mr. Prawesh Kumar Ram, Sub-Inspector, SC/ST Police Station, Chatra is physically present before this Court.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

3. The present criminal appeal has been filed under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, [in Short SC/ST (PoA) Act], for grant of anticipatory bail though the same bars under Section 18 of the SC/ST (PoA) Act.

The present appeal has been filed against the order dated 21.01.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I (SC/ST Act), Chatra in A.B.P No.1797 of 2025 in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.02 of 2025, corresponding to SC/ST Case No.02 of 2025, registered for the offence under Sections 190, 191, 115, 76, 303, 351 & 352 of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3(1)(v) and 3(1)(x) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. The case is now pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Chatra.

4. From interaction with the investigating officer and the arguments of the parties, it appears that the land, in question, is in the possession of the accused

party and they have also constructed a house. Further, the victim is aggressor party.

5. Since it is a land dispute between the parties and the alleged victim is aggressor, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the appellants. Accordingly, the appellants, named above, are directed to surrender in the trial court within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (*Rs. Ten Thousand*) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Chatra in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.02 of 2025,corresponding to SC/ST Case No.02 of 2025, on the conditions as laid down under Section ***482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023***. Further, the appellants will submit self-attested photocopy of his/her/their Aadhaar Card(s) and also submit his/her/their mobile number(s) before the learned trial court which he/she/they will always keep active and will not change it without prior permission of the court, till conclusion of the case.

6. In the result, the present criminal appeal stands allowed and accordingly disposed of.

7. Let this order be communicated to the learned Member Secretary, JHALSA, Ranchi, for the purpose of remuneration to be paid to the learned Amicus Curiae as permissible.

8. The personal appearance of the abovementioned official is hereby, dispensed with.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 10th September, 2026
Raja/- Uploaded on 11.09.2026