IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

C.M.P. No.877 of 2026

------

1. Shrawan Kumar Pathak, son of Indrajeet Pathak, resident of Kumar Bagh Road, Block Chowk, P.O. + P.S. Kanke, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. Rabindra Prasad Chaurasia, son of Sarju Prasad Chaurasia, resident of Uphar Cloth Store, Irgu Road, Kumhartoli, P.O., G.P.O., Ranchi, P.S. Sukhdeonagar, District Ranchi.
3. Rajiv Ranjan Singh, son of Late Nirad Gopal Singh, resident of LIG R-325, Harmu Housing Colony, P.O. Harmu, P.S. Argora, District Ranchi.
4. John M. Baa, son of Late Louis Baa, resident of Village Sundarnagar, P.O. + P.S. Kanke, District Ranchi.
5. Silbanus Toppo, son of Late Nicholas Toppo, resident of Village Kadma, P.O. Kanke, P.S. Kanke, District Ranchi.

... ... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, School Education and Literacy Department, Government of Jharkhand, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, School Education and Literacy Department, P.O. + P.S. + District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. The District Superintendent of Education, Ranchi, School Education and Literacy Department, P.O. + P.S. + District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. The Secretary, St. Joseph High School, Kanke, P.O. + P.S. + District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
6. The Headmaster, St. Joseph High School, Kanke, P.O. + P.S. Kanke, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... ... Opposite Parties

For the Petitioners : Mr. Navneet Toppo, Advocate
Mr. Saurav Mahto, Advocate
Ms. Chhavi Pratik Gaxi, Advocate

For the O.P.(s) : Mr. Anish Kumar Mishra, AC to Sr. SC-I

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

03/ 25.09.2026

Heard the parties.

2. This Civil Misc. Petition has been preferred for restoration of Contempt Petition being Cont. Case (Civil) No.441 of 2024, which was dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 07.03.2025, for removing the surviving defect.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioners begs an unconditional apology and submits that no sooner the Contempt Petition is restored to its original file, he will remove the surviving defect.

4. Learned counsel representing the opposite parties do not oppose the aforesaid submission.

5. Considering the submission of learned counsel representing the petitioners, this Civil Misc. Petition is **allowed**.

6. The Contempt Petition being Cont. Case (Civil) No.441 of 2024, is restored to its original file with a condition that the defect, if any, is still not removed, the same shall be removed within three weeks, failing which, this order will not be given effect to.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

25th September, 2026
Prashant.Cp-2

Uploaded on 26.09.2026