IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(Cr.) No.378 of 2026

Sourav Kumar Pathak --- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Jharkhand through Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
2. Director General of Police (DGP), Jharkhand, Ranchi.
3. Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur.
4. Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur.
5. Officer-in-charge, Telco Police Station, Jamshedpur.
6. S.I. Hem Kishore Gupta, Investigating Officer, Telco Police Station, Jamshedpur.
7. Pawan Singh
8. Harsh Nath Singh
9. Rinku Singh
10. Sunita Prasad --- --- Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Oishi Das, Adv.
For the State : AC to Sr.AAG

02/27.10.2026 Heard Ms. Oishi Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC to Sr. AAG.

In this writ application the petitioner has prayed for a direction upon the respondent authorities to immediately register a First Information Report based on the written complaint of the petitioner dated 19.05.2025.

In the context of the prayer made in this writ application, reference is made to the case of ***"Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. & others"*** reported in *(2008) 2 SCC 409*, the relevant part of which reads as follows:

> "***26***. *If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police his first remedy is to approach the Superintendent of Police under Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. or other police officer referred to in Section 36 Cr.P.C. If despite approaching the Superintendent of Police or the officer referred to in Section 36 his grievance still persists, then he can approach a Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. instead of rushing to the High Court by way of a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Moreover, he has a further remedy of filing a criminal complaint under Section*

200 Cr.P.C. Why then should writ petitions or Section 482 petitions be entertained when there are so many alternative remedies?

27*. As we have already observed above, the Magistrate has very wide powers to direct registration of an FIR and to ensure a proper investigation and for this purpose he can monitor the investigation to ensure that the investigation is done properly (though he cannot investigate himself). The High Court should discourage the practice of filing a writ petition or petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. simply because a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police, or after being registered, proper investigation has not been done by the police. For this grievance, the remedy lies under Sections 36 and 154(3) before the police officers concerned, and if that is of no avail, under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. before the Magistrate or by filing a criminal complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. and not by filing a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C."*

In view of the alternative remedy available to the petitioner as indicated in the aforesaid judgment, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Shamim/-
Uploaded on :29 /10/2026