IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Civil Review No. 15 of 2018

Suraj Kumar Sharma, S/o Late Shankar Sharma, R/o Mohalla Baliharpur, P.O.- Pakur, P.S. Pakur (Town), Distt. Pakur, Jharkhand through his Attorney Sh. Prabir Bhattacharya aged About 72 years S/o Late Jitendra Kumar Bhattacharya R/o Mohalla, Anandpuri, Raj High Court School Road, P.O. Pakur, P.S. Pakur (Town), Distt. Pakur, Jharkhand

…… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Jharkhand, Through the Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Nepal House, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Pakur, P.O., P.S. & Distt. Pakur, Jharkhand
3. Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad Pakur, P.O.,P.S. & Dist. Pakur, Jharkhand

... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ PRASAD

For the Petitioner: None
For the Opp. Parties: Mr Rakesh Kr. Shahi, A.C. to S.C. (L&C)-I

12/Dated: 28.10.2026

1. None for the review petitioner.

2. Mr Rakesh Kumar Sahi, learned A.C. to S.C. (L&C)-I, appears for the State.

3. The record shows that review petitioner has not been diligent in pursuing this matter.

4. On 20th of August 2018, the learned counsel for the review petitioner sought an adjournment and the matter adjourned was to 11.09.2018.

5. On 11.09.2018, once again, counsel for the review petitioner sought for adjournment. On this occasion, the Coordinate Bench, made the following order:-

> *"1) Counsel for the appellant is seeking time.*
>
> *2) After filing of the Civil Review, not on a single occasion, the matter has been argued out by the applicant. If this attitude is continued, this review application will be finally decided on the next date of hearing.*
>
> *3) This matter is adjourned to be enlisted on 25th September, 2018."*

6. On 07.03.2019 neither the review petitioner nor his advocate appeared in the matter. Still, with a view to give an additional opportunity, the matter was adjourned to 10th of April 2019.

7. On 26.10.2026 again, neither the review petitioner nor his counsel appeared. Still, with a view to afford an additional opportunity to the review petitioner, the following order was passed:-

> *"1. None for the review petitioner.*
>
> *2. In order to afford the review petitioner an additional opportunity, stand over to 28.10.2026, High on Board.*
>
> *3. However, if the same position continues i.e. there is no appearance on behalf of the review petitioner, this Court will consider disposing of this review petition in the absence of the review petitioner or his Advocate."*

8. Today, once again, neither the review petitioner nor his advocate appears.

9. Despite extending the indulgence on numerous occasions, it is apparent that the review petition is not interested in proceeding with this review petition. It further appears that the review petitioner is only interested in keeping this matter pending.

10. Accordingly, we dismiss this review petition for non-prosecution without any order for costs.

11. If any IAs are pending in this review petition, they will not survive and are hereby disposed of.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Manoj Prasad, J.)

October 28, 2026

N.A.F.R.

Manoj/Sharda/Cp.2

Uploaded on 29.10.2026