IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1275 of 2007

With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1299 of 2007
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1338 of 2007
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1373 of 2007
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 62 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 87 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 262 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 428 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 528 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 782 of 2008
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 120 of 2009

Braj Bhushan Prasad … … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 404 of 2009

Brij Bhushan Prasad @ Braj Bhushan Prasad …… **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 594 of 2009
With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 731 of 2009

Braj Bhushan Prasad … … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 820 of 2009

Brij Bhushan Prasad @ Braj Bhushan Prasad

… … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1103 of 2009
With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 95 of 2010

Braj Bhushan Prasad … … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 87 of 2012

Brij Bhushan Prasad @ Braj Bhushan Prasad

… … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 146 of 2012

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 167 of 2012

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 504 of 2012

Braj Bhushan Prasad … … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 369 of 2013

Braj Bhushan Prasad @ Braj Bhusan Prasad

… … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 908 of 2013

With

Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 44 of 2014

Braj Bhushan Prasad … … **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I.

… … **Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

17/**27.10.2026** Learned counsel for the appellant is present.

2. Mr. Deepak Kumar Bharati, Advocate is appearing on behalf of the C.B.I.

3. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submitted that the appellant in all these criminal appeals are the same person namely, Braj Bhushan Prasad who has already expired.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that he has no contact with the son or legal heir of Braj Bhushan Prasad.

5. Learned counsel for the C.B.I. has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 14.10.2026, C.B.I. has taken steps for service of notice and upon contacting the son of Braj Bhushan Prasad namely, Sanjeev Kumar Sinha, whose self-attested Aadhar Card has also been obtained along with the dead certificate of Braj Bhushan Prasad. The son of Braj Bhushan Prasad has been duly informed about the present appeals and it was brought to his notice that if he wants to contest the conviction and sentence of imprisonment and fine imposed upon the deceased-appellant, he may do so. Upon receipt of notice, he has stated that he does not want to continue or contest the appeal. Such statement has been placed in writing on the copy of the Aadhar Card of Sanjeev Kumar Sinha, son of Braj Bhushan Prasad.

6. The learned counsel for the respondent-Opp. Party C.B.I. has further submitted that since the convict/appellant Braj Bhushan Prasad has already died, all the appeals on merits have abated, but the fine amount as imposed against him is still required to be realized. The legal heir does not want to contest the case, so appropriate order be passed accordingly.

7. Upon this submission made by the learned counsel for the C.B.I., learned counsel for the appellant has nothing to say and reiterated that he has no contact with the son of Braj Bhushan Prasad.

8. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the appellant Braj Bhushan Prasad has already expired and his legal representative is not interested in pursuing these criminal appeals in spite of the fact that it has been brought to his notice that fine amount is also involved in the present case, this Court is of the view that all these appeals have abated, however, the fine amount imposed in the impugned judgements and orders are certainly to be realized in accordance with law.

9. Accordingly, the learned Court is directed to take appropriate steps for realisation of the fine amounts awarded against the appellant in each case from the assets of the deceased appellant.

10. If any portion of the fine amount has been deposited before the learned Court, as a condition of bail, the appropriate steps can be taken by C.B.I. for forfeiting/realizing the same by filing appropriate application before the learned Court.

11. The aforesaid service report as produced by the learned counsel for the C.B.I. during the Court proceedings is kept on record.

12. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned Court through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:27.10.2026
Nandini
Uploaded on-29.10.2026