IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3506 of 2026

Riyajuddin Ansari, aged about 26 years, son of Late Seraz Hafiz, resident of Kashitand, P.O. & P.S. Chandankiyari, District- Bokaro.

… … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashim Kr. Sahani, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Kumari Rashmi, Addl.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Chaitanya Vijay, Advocate

02/**27.04.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2025 in connection with Chandankiyari P.S. Case No. 95 of 2025 (S.T. No. 262 of 2025) for the offences registered under Sections 64(2)(m) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Bokaro.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected earlier at the initial stage on 09.12.2025 in B.A. No. 10674 of 2025, but the victim has been examined she has stated that the talks of marriage was going between the parties and when it did not materialize, the First Information Report was lodged. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a delay of three months in lodging the First Information Report.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State as well as the learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the allegation of rape has been duly supported by the victim.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the bail application of the petitioner was rejected earlier, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above named on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner above named is rejected.

6. However, the State is directed to ensure that the remaining four witnesses are promptly produced before the learned trial court.

7. Learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Director, Prosecution and also to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district to ensure compliance.

8. Let this order be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 27.04.2026
Uploaded on: 27.04.2026
MM/