(2026:JHHC:12810)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.2956 of 2026

Ajay Bhengra @ Ajay Kumar Bhengra, aged about 43 years, Son of Sushil Puran Bhengra @ Sushil Bhengra, Resident of Village Samsera, Jata Toli, P.O. Samsera, P.S.-Bolba, District-Simdega (Jharkhand).

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gaurav, Advocate
: Mr. J.S. Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. V.K. Vashishta, Spl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. K.S. Nanda, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated- 30-04-2026

I.A. No.6025 of 2026

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer for early hearing of the instant bail application.

Since, the hearing of instant bail application is taken up today, hence, this interlocutory application stands disposed of being infructuous.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

B.A. No.2956 of 2026

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with T. Tangar P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 115(2), 126(2), 109, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with the co-accused persons, has committed murder of Binay Kullu. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the only basis of implication of the petitioner in the case concerned is that in

the statement made by the deceased in the hospital, where the deceased was treated, the deceased disclosed that the co-accused Ajay arranged the liquor and the petitioner and the co-accused persons made the deceased take liquor but there is neither any allegation against the petitioner or any of the co-accused persons of assaulting the deceased, nor the deceased has admitted the same. It is next submitted that the deceased under the influence of liquor fell down and sustained injury, which ultimately resulted in his death during the course of his treatment, after about 10 days. It is then submitted the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-27 of this bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 20.01.2026 as mentioned in para-28 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State and the learned counsel appearing for the informant oppose the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Simdega in connection with T. Tangar P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case**.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

30/04/2026
Abhiraj/