(2026:JHHC:13706)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3078 of 2026

Durga Oreya, aged about 29 years, Son of Budhram Oreya, Resident of Village –Murud, P.O. Murhu, P.S. –Murhu, District –Khunti, Jharkhand.

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Md. Imran Beg, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.

Order No.04 Dated- 07.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Bandgaon P.S. Case No.16(s) of 2023 corresponding to N.D.P.S. Case No. 05 of 2006 registered for the offences punishable under sections 15(c), 18 and 25 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was the driver of a vehicle which was seized while being transporting 1971.75 Kg of poppy husk. It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that some co-accused persons were arrested while sitting in the said truck which was seized by police and they did not disclose the name of the petitioner and after about two and a half year of the occurrence, for the first time, the name of the petitioner has come that he was the driver of the said truck. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has no concern with the seized poppy husk. It is next submitted that there is no allegation against the petitioner that on the date of occurrence, he was driving the said truck. It is then submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para –11 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in

custody since 19.01.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa, in connection with Bandgaon P.S. Case No.16(s) of 2023 corresponding to N.D.P.S. Case No. 05 of 2006 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

07.05.2026
Sonu/