2026:JHHC:12607

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2728 of 2026

Lal Mohammad @ Lal Md., son of Late Azad Mian

… … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

03/29th April 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 16/2026 for the offence registered under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of BNS and Section 66B, 66C, 66D and 84(C) of the I.T. Act, now said to have been pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Cyber Crime Special Court, Deoghar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that two mobile phones and one SIM card are alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, and the SIM card stands in the name of someone else. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2026 and has no criminal antecedent. He has also submitted that the charge-sheet has already been submitted and only one victim has been identified, whose details have been mentioned in paragraph 70 of the case diary.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite party has opposed the prayer and has submitted that numerous crime links have been found as recorded in the case diary, but from perusal of case-diary, the learned counsel could not point out as to the number of persons, who are said to have been defrauded. However, the learned counsel has referred to the case-diary to submit that 185 cases have been lodged, but the details of such cases are also not available in the case-diary.

4. The fact that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent is not in dispute. It is not in dispute that in the case-diary, except one victim, no other victim has been pointed out, so far as the petitioner is concerned.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that only one victim has been identified so far and that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Cyber Crime Special Court, Deoghar in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 16/2026, subject to the following conditions: -

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner would deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his Mobile Number before the learned court below, which he shall not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

6. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

7. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through ‘e-mail/FAX’.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 29.04.2026
Uploaded On: 30.04.2026
Mukul/-