IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2748 of 2026

Jamil Ansari, son of Khalil Miyan … … **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Jharkhand … … **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Spl. P.P.

03/**29.04.2026** Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2026 in connection with Jamtara Cybercrime P.S. Case No. 06 of 2026 registered under sections 111(2)(b), 317(2), 317(5), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, sections 66(B)(C)(D) of Information Technology Act and under section 42(3)(e) of the Telecommunication Act, 2023, now pending in the court of learned Special Judge Cyber Crime, Jamtara.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that only three mobiles and two SIM cards standing in the name of other persons are alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that as per the case-diary, there are four victims, but out of them, only two victims have been made charge-sheeted witnesses.

5. Learned counsel for the opposite party- State, on the other hand, has opposed the prayer and has submitted that letter has been issued to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned State to take the petitioner on remand. However, the details of the First Information report instituted against the petitioner in other states, if any, have not been placed on record and two victims have given statement against the petitioner telephonically.

6. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2026 and charge-sheet has already

been submitted.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that no other FIR has so far been found lodged against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2026 and charge-sheet has already been filed, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/-(Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Cyber Crime, Jamtara in connection with Jamtara Cyber P.S. Case No. 06 of 2026, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

8. The instant application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:29.04.2026
Pankaj
Date of Uploading:30.04.2026