**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**
**W.P.(C) No. 4456 of 2015**

Subhas Kumar Jain, son of Mahabir Prasad Jain, resident of village-Dhulian, P.S. Samsherganj, P.O. Samsherganj, District-Murshidabad (W.B)

..... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Secretary, Land Reforms, State of Jharkhand, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Ranchi
3. Divisional Commissioner, Santhal Pargana Division, Dumka, P.O. Dumka, P.S. Dumka, District-Dumka
4. Deputy Commissioner, Pakur
5. Additional Collector, Pakur
6. Sub Divisional Officer, Pakur
7. Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Pakur
8. Circle Officer, Pakur,

Nos. 4 to 8 all having their office at Pakur, P.O. Pakur, P.S. Pakur (T), District-Pakur

….. … Respondents

---

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

For the Petitioner :Mr. S.N. Das, Advocate
: Mr. Om Prakash Singh, Advocate
: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Prashant Kumar Rai, Advocate

**13/ 05.10.2026**: This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for direction upon the respondent no. 8 to issue rent receipts in favour of the petitioner which has been stopped illegally since 1994-95.

2. Mr. S.N. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has purchased the plot no. 1275 of Mouza Pakur, P.O, P.S and District-Pakur from Anand Mohan Pandey by a registered sale deed no. 4020 of 1981 dated 16.01.1981 contained in annexure-1 of the writ petition and thereafter the mutation was allowed in favour of the petitioner in Mutation Case No. 217 of 1980-81. He next submits that thereafter the State authorities have created disputes and the

person who has sold the land his jamabandi has been annulled by the S.D.O. He submits that the said order has been challenged by the widow of the vendor before this Court in W.P.(C) No.5055 of 2003 which was allowed by order dated 07.04.2008 by setting aside the orders of annulling jamabandi of the vendor of the petitioner which has been challenged by the State in L.P.A. No. 282 of 2008 which was further dismissed by order dated 23.03.2009. He next submits that thereafter the State further moved before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)……./2012 CC 7995 of 2012 which was further dismissed as withdrawn by order dated 15.10.2012. He further submits that after the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court the State has filed Civil Review being Civil Review No. 45 of 2013 before the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court which was dismissed by order dated 12.05.2015. Thereafter, the State has further moved before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (C) ……CC No. (s) 4288-4289/2016 which has been dismissed as withdrawn by order dated 11.03.2016 with liberty to file review petition. He next submits that thereafter the State has further filed Civil Review No. 99 of 2019 before the Division Bench of this Court which has been dismissed for non prosecution by order dated 17.01.2026.

3. In view of aforesaid background, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the jamabandi of the vendor of the petitioner would have been restored now.

4. Learned counsel for the State of Jharkhand submits that this writ petition can be disposed of directing the petitioner to move before the

respondent no.8 by filing the appropriate petition who will look into the matter and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

5. In view of above, this writ petition is disposed of with direction to the petitioner to file petition before the respondent no.8 along with all credentials along with respective orders within a period of four weeks and if such a petition is filed within the aforesaid period the respondent no. 8 will look into the matter and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within six weeks further thereafter.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition is disposed of. Pending I.A, if any, is disposed of.

**( Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

*Dt.05.10.2026*
*Satyarthi-*