IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2517 of 2026

Mansingh Murmu, aged 35 years, S/o Chandrai Murmu, resident of Chandanpur, P.O. & P.S. –Shyamsunderpur, District –East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ⋯ Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandrajit Mukherjee, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, Addl. P.P.

Order No.03 Dated- 29.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Gurabanda P.S. Case No.12 of 2015 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 245 of 2015, S.T. No. 472 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 302/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons committed the murder of Sunita Tudu and caused disappearance of the evidence of murder by throwing the dead body under a tree. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion that he was in love with the deceased and though the deceased was pregnant, the petitioner was not inclined to marry her. It is further submitted that except suspicion, there is no other material to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is further submitted that so far six witnesses have been examined but none of them have stated anything to implicate the petitioner in the offence alleged. It is then submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he has been in custody since 05.05.2025, as

has been mentioned in paragraph no. 08 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge -I, Ghatshila, in connection with Gurabanda P.S. Case No.12 of 2015 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 245 of 2015, S.T. No. 472 of 2024 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

29.04.2026
Sonu/