IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

C.M.P. No. 630 of 2025

Uma Shankar Prasad, aged about 72 years, son of Lalit Narayan Prasad, EDBO (Retired) at Deoghar, P.O. – Baidya Nath, Deoghar, P.S. & District – Deoghar, resident of Village & P.O. – Hariharpur, P.S. – Taljhari (Jarmundi), District – Dumka

… … Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Secretary, Government of India, Ministry of Communication, Department of Posts (India), Dak – Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. – Dak Bhawan, New Delhi – 110001
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Jharkhand Circle, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. – Doranda, District – Ranchi, PIN – 834002
3. The Senior Superintendent of Post Offices, Santhal Pargana Division, Dumka, P.O. & P.S. – Dumka, District – Dumka, PIN – 814102
4. The Director of Postal Services, Jharkhand, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. – Doranda, District – Ranchi, PIN – 834002
5. Shri Manimohan Dubey, Postman, through the Subdivisional Inspector (Postal), Jamtara, P.O. & P.S. – Jamtara, District – Jamtara, PIN – 814102
6. Shri Ramdhani Dubey, Postman through the Senior Superintendent of Post Offices, Santhal Pargana Division, Dumka, P.O. & P.S. – Dumka, District – Dumka, PIN - 814102.

… … Respondents/Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner: Mrs Manjushri Patra, Advocate
For the Respondents: Mr Sunil Kumar, Sr. Panel Counsel

05/Dated: 29.10.2026

1. Heard Mrs Manjushri Patra, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr Sunil Kumar, learned Senior Panel Counsel for the Union of India.

2. We have perused the averments set out in the CMP and are satisfied that sufficient cause has been shown for the non-clearance of office objections and for restoring W.P.(S) No. 1621 of 2018.

3. The record does show that the period during which the objections were notified for clearance was affected by the COVID-19

pandemic. As such, the learned counsel and the parties were unable to clear such office objections.

4. The petitioner has gained nothing by delaying the clearance of the office objections. Therefore, we are satisfied that the petitioner deserves another chance to appear and be heard on merits.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the objections have now been cleared.

6. Accordingly, we allow this CMP, recall the order dismissing W.P.(S) No. 1621 of 2018 for non-prosecution and restore the said W.P.(S) No. 1621 of 2018 to our file.

7. The Registry should verify whether all objections have been cleared, and the petitioner should also ascertain from the Registry the position on the clearance of office objections. If any office objections remain to be cleared, they must now be cleared within four weeks of today.

8. This CMP is disposed of in the above terms without any order on costs.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

October 29, 2026

N.A.F.R.

Saurav/Pankaj/Manoj

Uploaded on 30.10.2026