IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2450 of 2026

Arun Singh, son of Mithilesh Singh … … **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand … … **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey-2
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Suraj Singh, Advocate

03/**06.05.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2025 in connection with S.T. No. 55 of 2026, arising out of Ranka P.S. Case No. 134 of 2025 Corresponding to G.R. Case No.110 of 2026 for the offences registered under Sections 108 of the B.N.S. 2023, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Garhwa.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the face of the FIR, it is apparent that the victim had love affair with the petitioner and when the petitioner married some body else there was a panchayat also and ultimately the victim went back home and on the next day she was found hanging and she committed suicide. The learned counsel submits that the case has been registered under Section 108 of the BNS and charge sheet has also been submitted under the same section. He submits that the petitioner could not have married the victim, as he had already solemnized marriage and there is no abetment to commit suicide so far as petitioner is concerned.

4. Learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer and has submitted that since the petitioner did not marry the victim, she committed suicide and therefore case is prima-facie made out.

5. To this, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2025 and charge sheet has already been submitted.

6. The learned counsel for the State has also opposed the prayer for bail and has supported the submissions made by the learned counsel for the informant.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner based on FIR itself and considering the fact that the charge sheet has already been submitted and the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2025 and chargesheet having been submitted , the petitioner above named is directed to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Garhwa, in connection with S.T. No. 55 of 2026, arising out of Ranka P.S. Case No. 134 of 2025 Corresponding to G.R. Case No.110 of 2026, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner shall not disturb the informant and his family members.

(iv) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(v) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(vi) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

8. Let this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

9. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 06.05.2026

Uploaded on 07.05.2026

Binit/