IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. no. 2880 of 2026

Jayram Kumar, Age-22 Years, S/o- Gajendra Mahto, R/o- Vill Chaturpatti, PO Mohjamma, PS Parro, Dist- Muzaffarpur, (Bihar) … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Ayushi Aman, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Vishwanath Roy, Spl. PP

02 / 09.04.2026 Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with NDPS case no. 127 of 2025 arising out of Rail (Ranchi) P.S. case no. 19 of 2025 (N) instituted under Section 20(b) (ii) (c) / 29 of NDPS Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with the co-accused was transporting 32 Kg of Ganja which was seized by police after checking the same in a train. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false.

It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 15.06.2025, as mentioned in para 1 of this bail application. It is then submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case. **It is** next submitted that the co-accused has already been admitted on bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 30.03.2026 passed in B.A. no. 2599 of 2026 hence, the petitioner may be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view of the recovery of Ganja in commercial quantity and in the absence of any material to suggest that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the petitioner is not guilty of the offence and that he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail and as there is every chance of the petitioner absconding, if released on bail; as also the chance of the petitioner tampering with the evidence and absconding, if released on bail, the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of transporting 32 kg. of Ganja which comes under commercial quantity along with the co-accused persons and in the absence of any material to suggest that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the petitioner is not guilty of the offence and he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail and the chance of the petitioner absconding, if released on bail and also the chance of the petitioner tampering with the evidence and absconding, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Dated 09.04.2026
Smita/-