IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 1980 of 2026

X and Another
..... … Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ..... … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners :Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satish Prasad, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate

02/ 24.04.2026: Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Silli P.S. Case No. 108 of 2025, registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 74, 315 of BNS, pending in the Court of learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-XV, Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are case and counter case between the parties and both parties are relatives. He next submits that altercation took place due to land dispute. On these grounds, he submits that the petitioner may kindly be provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsels for the State and informant oppose the prayer and submit that allegation of outraging modesty is there and injury has been received by the informant. Learned counsel for the informant further adds by way of submitting that so far case lodged by the petitioners' side is concerned, in that case injury has not been received.

5. Looking into contents of F.I.R, it transpires that so far petitioner no.1 is concerned, there is direct allegation of outraging modesty of a woman and assault by iron rod and in that view of the matter, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner no.1 namely, Kumar

Goutam. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no.1, is hereby rejected.

6. The allegation against the petitioner no.2 of outraging the modesty is not there and even on her assault injury is said to be internal on the child of informant and in that view of the matter, petitioner no. 2 is directed to surrender before the learned court within two weeks from today and the learned court shall release the petitioner no. 2 on terms and conditions and sureties as learned court deems fit and proper.

7. This anticipatory bail application is disposed of.

Dt.24.04.2026 **(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

satyarthi-