(2026:JHHC:7949)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2176 of 2026

Nayan Khamrai, aged about 32 years, S/o Bhola Khamrai, R/o Sardar Para, P.O. & P.S. Chakulia, District –Jamshedpur, Jharkhand. … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ⋯ Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Harsh Preet Singh, Advocate
: Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 20.03.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Chakulia P.S. Case No.64 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 80 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail and earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 15.07.2025 in B.A. No. 5777 of 2025. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the only fresh ground is that charge has been framed in this case and the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has committed the dowry death of Mandha Bhalu. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that framing of charge is a stage from where trial begins and keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing the dowry death of the deceased, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chances of the petitioner absconding as also

tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected for the same reasons as mentioned in the order dated 15.07.2025 in B.A. No. 5777 of 2025.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

20.03.2026
Sonu/