IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 2396 of 2026

Dr. Aakanksha Kalia, D/o N.K. Kalia, W/o Gunjan Malhotra, Aged about 38 years, Resident of 1/1, Clinic Site No. 1, Commercial Complex No. 1, Opposite Red Cross Bhawan, Sector-17, HUDA, P.O. & P.S. Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar, Presently practising Advocate before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

... Petitioner (Party in Person)

Versus

1. The High Court of Jharkhand, through the Registrar General, High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
2. The State of Jharkhand, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
3. Jharkhand Superior Judicial Service Recruitment Authority /Committee through the Registrar General, High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner: Party-In-Person
For Resp. Nos.1&3: Mr Salona Mittal, Advocate
For Resp. No.2: Mr Sushant Kumar, A.C. to S.C.-II

03/Dated: 29.04.2026

1. Heard Dr Aakanksha Kalia, the petitioner who appears in person; Mr Salona Mittal, learned counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 3, and Mr Sushant Kumar, learned A.C. to S.C.-II appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner has instituted this petition seeking the following reliefs:-

a. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a writ in the nature of mandamus for taking

administrative action whereby the representation submitted by the petitioner pursuant to order dated 28.11.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) No. 33172 of 2025 has not been decided by a reasoned order.

b. *For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the respondents to give effect to and act upon the observations and liberty granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which recognised the petitioner's stand as "fair and just" and requested sympathetic consideration.*

c. *For issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner for viva voce/interview against the 7 vacant posts of Jharkhand Superior Judicial Service (District Judge Entry Level), 2025.*

d. *To hold and declare that the failure to take a reasoned decision after inspection pursuant to Supreme Court liberty is arbitrary, illegal and violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.*

e. *Pass such other order and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.*

3. Basically, the petitioner's grievance was that her representation was not disposed of. The remaining prayers concern the disposal of the representation in a particular manner.

4. A mandamus can always be issued to dispose of a representation in accordance with law, but normally, no mandamus can be issued to decide the representation in a particular manner.
5. The petitioner says that she received an email today informing her that her representation has been rejected. No doubt, she has grievances regarding such rejection.
6. However, now that the representation has been disposed of, we do not think that any further relief can be granted in this petition. Therefore, we dispose of this petition with liberty to the petitioner to take such steps as she may be advised with regard to the decision disposing of her representation, which has been communicated to her today itself.
7. With liberty in the above terms, we dispose of this petition.
8. No costs.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

April 29, 2026
N.A.F.R.
Manoj/ Sharda/Cp.2
Uploaded on 30.04.2026