(2026:JHHC:13620)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2325 of 2026

Manish Ganjhu @ Manish Jee @ Surendra Ganjhu, aged about 42 years, son of Gulechi Ganjhu, resident of Village-Khakhar, P.O. & P.S.-Lawalong, Dist.-Chatra … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ··· Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Abhay Kr. Chaturvedy, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Ruby Pandey, Addl. P.P.

Order No.05 Dated- 07.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Panki P.S. Case No.158 of 2017 (G.R. No. 2616 of 2017) registered for the offences punishable under sections 25(1)(A)/25 (1-B)(A)/26/35 of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was in illegal possession of huge quantity of arms and ammunitions being the member of T.P.C. organization. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and no arms has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner rather the same has been recovered from the possession of the co-accused persons and on the basis of their confessional statement, the petitioner has been implicated in this case. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.12.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 19 of the bail application and charge sheet has been submitted in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the some of the co-accused persons with similar allegation have already been admitted to bail by coordinate

Benches of this Court vide order dated 20.06.2018, 31.5.2018 and 04.06.2018 in B.A. Nos.3048 of 2018, 4304 of 2018, 4345 of 2018 respectively. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Palamau, in connection with Panki P.S. Case No.158 of 2017 (G.R. No. 2616 of 2017) with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

07.05.2026
Gunjan-