IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.2668 of 2026

Nitish Kumar, Aged 26 years, S/o. Late Manoj Bhagat, R/o. Kabutar Khopi, Bara Jurwabari, Chanan, P.O. and P.S. – Jirwabari, District- Sahibganj (Jharkhand)

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhary, Advocate
Mr. Ashish Kr. Thakur, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Gautam Rakesh, Addl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Agnivesh, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated-28-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Jirwabari P.S. Case No. 165 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section 103(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner, in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons, has committed murder of Rajiv Yadav @ Gilhari Yadav by stabbing him. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner and Amit Yadav were holding two hands of the deceased, while Ashish Yadav stabbed him. It is also submitted that charge has already been framed in this case. It is further submitted that police paper has not yet been supplied to the petitioner and the main allegation is against Ashish Yadav. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.08.2025 as mentioned in para-1 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant on the other hand oppose the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing the murder of the deceased, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the direct allegation of serious nature against the petitioner and the chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the bail of the above named petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 28.04.2026
Saroj/