IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 876 of 2026

Nishant Kumar, aged about 42 years, son of late Anil Kumar Sinha, resident of Flat No. C-3, Narahari Madhusudan Complex, 7 No. Extension Road, P.O. and P.S. Sonari, District-East Singhbhum ..... … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ..... … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate
For the State : Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, A.P.P.

02/ 06.05.2026: Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Giridih (Town) P.S. Case No. 258 of 2023, registered under sections 467, 468, 471, 420, 409, 167, 177 of the I.P.C pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Giridih.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that for the same allegation two cases vide F.I.R. Nos. are R.C. 10(A)/2019-D and RC. 02(A)/2021 have been lodged by the C.B.I Special Branch at Dhanbad District against the complainant/informant and after the initiation of said two cases complainant/ informant only to save his skin from the case of C.B.I lodged the present case. He next submits that petitioner is working as System Admin in the Postal Department, Giridih and only allegation is made that petitioner has created forged financial user I.D. He next submits that there is no allegation of taking or withdrawing any money against the petitioner. He further submits that identical co-accused namely, Navin Kumar Sinha who was posted in the said post office has already been granted anticipatory bail in A.B.P. No. 2612 of 2023 by the learned Sessions Judge, Giridih. He next submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent which is disclosed in para 23 of the petition. On these grounds, he submits

that the petitioner may kindly be provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits that petitioner happens to be System Admin in the Postal Department, Giridih and allegation are there of creating forged financial user I.D.

5. On query, the learned counsel for the State fairly submits that the amount has not been disclosed in the F.I.R as well in case diary.

6. It appears that only allegation is made against the petitioner of creating forged financial user I.D. Prima facie it appears that no amount has been withdrawn by the petitioner and amount has not been disclosed in the case diary. Co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge and petitioner has got no criminal antecedent which is disclosed in para 23 of the petition. The person who has lodged the F.I.R is already facing C.B.I. enquiry in the light of aforesaid R.C. Case and thereafter he has lodged the present case against the petitioner and others. The petitioner will co-operate in the investigation.

7. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the above named, petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of his surrender / arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Giridih, in connection with Giridih (Town) P.S. Case No. 258 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S, 2023.

Dt.06.05.2026 **(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

satyarthi-