2026:JHHC:33537

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cri. Appeal (S.J.) No. 1360 of 2005

Pramod Kumar Jayswal, Son of Late Laxmi Narayan Jayswal, resident of North Samaj Street, Tharpakhana, Police Station Lower Bazar, District Ranchi **… … Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I. **… … Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Ms. Jasvindar Mazumdar, Advocate
For the CBI : Mr. Deepak Kr. Bharti, Advocate

19/25th November 2026

1. This criminal appeal has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 21st September 2005 passed by the learned Special Judge VIth CBI (AHD Scam Cases), Ranchi in R.C. 25(A)/96-Pat., whereby the appellant has been convicted for the offences under Section 120B read with Sections 467, 468, 471, 477A of Indian Penal Code and Section 420 of IPC and has been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for six years with a fine of Rs. 30.000/- and in default of payment of fine, simple imprisonment for three months for the offences punishable under Section 120B of IPC read with Sections 467, 468, 471, 477A of IPC and further rigorous imprisonment for five years with a fine of Rs. 25,000/- and in default of payment of fine to undergo simple imprisonment for two months for the offences punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the death certificate of the appellant, who died as back as on 27th September 2007 has already been submitted before this Court. The learned counsel submits that she has taken instructions from the legal representative of the appellant, that is, his wife, namely, Manju Bala Jaiswal and as per her instruction, she is not willing to contest this appeal, rather she has stated

that if such occasion arises, she will deposit the fine amount as imposed by the learned court. The total fine amount imposed in this case is Rs. 55,000/-.

3. Learned counsel for the CBI has submitted that if the legal representative is not willing to contest the appeal, the appeal be treated as abated with an observation that the CBI will have the liberty to realize the fine amount imposed by the impugned judgement in accordance with law. If any portion of the fine amount has been deposited, then appropriate petition can also be filed for the purposes of forfeiture to the same.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the legal representative of the appellant is not willing to pursue this appeal, this appeal is **treated as abated**.

5. However, liberty is reserved with the CBI to realize the fine amount imposed as per the impugned judgement in accordance with law.

6. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through ‘e-mail/FAX’.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 25.11.2026
Uploaded On: 26.11.2026
Mukul/-