IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 709 of 2007

Vijay Kumar Mallik, S/o late Inder Lal Mallik, Resident of 153, Gali Bagichawali, Kashmiri gate, P.S. Kashmirigate, Delhi - 6

… … Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I (AHD) Ranchi

… … Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Shekhar Sinha, Advocate
: Mr. Apurv, Advocate
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, Advocate

For the CBI : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
: Ms. Shivani Jaluka, AC to ASGI

04/**23.09.2026** Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This Criminal Appeal (SJ) has been filed against the judgment of conviction dated 30.05.2007 and order of sentence dated 31.05.2007 passed in Case No.R.C.32A/1996 in Spl. Case No.33/1996 by the learned Special Judge-I, C.B.I. (A.H.D. Scam Cases), Ranchi. By the said judgment, the appellant was convicted for the offences punishable under Section 120B read with Sections 420, 468, and 477A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The appellant was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 6 years along with a fine of Rs.50,000/- under Section 420 IPC; rigorous imprisonment for 3 years along with a fine of Rs.25,000/- under Section 468 IPC; rigorous imprisonment for 2 years along with a fine of Rs.10,000/- under Section 477A IPC; and rigorous imprisonment for 4 years along with a fine of Rs.50,000/- for the offence under Section 120B IPC. In default of payment of fine amount, the appellant was directed to undergo further for 6 months simple imprisonment. However, the learned court passed order that the sentences shall run concurrently.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has expired and their legal heirs do not want to pursue the appeal, but the death certificate has been forwarded to the learned

counsel for the appellant which has been placed on record along with the mention slip. He submits that accordingly this appeal has abated and the learned counsel for the appellant does not want to press the present appeal.

4. Learned counsel for the CBI has no objection so far as the aforesaid prayer of the appellant is concerned.

5. Considering the submissions, this appeal is treated as abated.

6. Pending interlocutory application, if any, is dismissed as not pressed.

7. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through Fax/email.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of order: 23.09.2026
Date of uploading: 29.09.2026
Saurav/-