IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 573 of 2008

Vijay Kumar Mallik, S/o late Inder Lal Mallik, Resident of 26, G. Floor, Engineers Enclave, Pitampura, P.S. Sarsawati Vihar, Delhi - 110034 **… … Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I**… … Respondent**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Shekhar Sinha, Advocate
: Mr. Apurv, Advocate
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, Advocate
For the CBI : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
: Ms. Shivani Jaluka, AC to ASGI

05/**23.09.2026** Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This Criminal Appeal (SJ) has been filed against the judgment of conviction dated 23.04.2008 and order of sentence dated 30.04.2008 passed in Case No.R.C.23A/1996 by the learned IIIrd Special Judge-I, C.B.I. (A.H.D. Scam Cases), Ranchi. By the said judgment, the appellant was convicted for the offences punishable under Section 120B read with Sections 420, 468, and 471 read with Section 477A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. The appellant was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 3 years and fine of Rs.50,000/- for offences under Section 120-B read with Section 420, 467, 468, 471, 477-A of IPC and in default of payment of fine to undergo Simple imprisonment for 3 months. The appellant was further sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 6 years under Section 420/467/471 of IPC. He was further directed to pay Rs.30 lakhs for each of the offence he is convicted for and in default of payment of fine to undergo simple imprisonment for 6 months for each default. However, the learned court passed order that the sentences shall run concurrently.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has expired and their legal heirs do not want to pursue the appeal, but the death certificate has been forwarded to the learned counsel for the appellant which has been placed on record along with

the mention slip. He submits that accordingly this appeal has abated and the learned counsel for the appellant does not want to press the present appeal.

5. Learned counsel for the CBI has no objection so far as the aforesaid prayer of the appellant is concerned.

6. Considering the submissions, this appeal is treated as abated.

7. Pending interlocutory application, if any, is dismissed as not pressed.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through Fax/email.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of order: 23.09.2026
Date of uploading: 29.09.2026
Saurav/-