Serial No. 8
Regular Cause List

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CRM(M) No. 917/2026
CrlM No. 2084/2026

Yasir Din Wani **…Petitioner(s)**

Through:
Mr Rizwan-ul-Zaman Bhat, Advocate with
Mr Danish Khursheed, Advocate.

V/s.

Mst. Nelofar **...Respondent(s)**

Through:

CORAM:
Hon'ble Mr Justice Shahzad Azeem, Judge.

ORDER
02.11.2026

01. The Petitioner seeks quashing of Order dated 16th of November, 2021 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ganderbal in a Complaint titled **'Mst. Neelofar v. Yasir Din Wani & Ors.'**, arising under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, as well as the Order dated 14th of October, 2026 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ganderbal in an appeal against the Order dated 16th of November, 2021. By the impugned Order, the learned Magistrate, as an interim arrangement, directed the Petitioner to provide shelter to the Respondent, or, in the alternative, to pay an amount of ₹10,000/- per month.

02. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that in an earlier round of litigation, the Respondent was granted a maintenance of ₹9,000/-, which the Petitioner is continuously paying.

03. The grievance of the Petitioner is that he is always ready and willing to provide shelter/ accommodation to the Respondent, but the Respondent is not accepting the same and is, instead, insisting upon payment of ₹10,000/-.

04. At this stage, the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, after arguing for some time, submits that the Petitioner would be satisfied if the Trial Magistrate is directed to take into consideration the amount of

₹9,000/-, already being paid by the Petitioner to the Respondent, and further to expedite the proceedings. The statement is taken on record.

05. The proceedings before the Appellate Court arose out of an interim Order. The original Complaint is still pending disposal before the Trial Magistrate and has been so pending since 2016. In these circumstances, it would serve the ends of justice if the Trial Magistrate is directed to take into consideration the maintenance of ₹9,000/-, which the Petitioner claims to be already paying, before passing the final Order, and to expedite the proceedings.

06. In view of the above and with the consent of the learned Counsel for the Petitioner, this Petition is **disposed** of by directing the learned Trial Magistrate to take into consideration the amount of ₹9,000/-, which the Petitioner is stated to be paying to the Respondent as maintenance, before passing the final Order. The Trial Magistrate shall make an endeavor to conclude the proceedings within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order.

07. The Petition is, thus, **disposed** of on the above terms, along with the connected CrlM.

(Shahzad Azeem)
Judge

SRINAGAR:
2nd November, 2026
"TAHIR"

HIGH COURT
JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

Tahir Manzoor Bhat
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
document