Serial No. 13
Regular Cause List

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CCP(S) No. 305/2023 In
WP(C) No. 951/2023
CM No. 6283/2026
CM No. 7036/2025

Abdul Nasir Bhat **...Petitioner(s)**

Through:
Mr. Abbas Lodhi, Advocate.

V/s.

Vijay Kumar Bidhuri and Ors **...Respondent(s)**

Through:
Mr. Waseem Gul, GA.
Ms. Syed Ainain Qadri, Advocate in CM No. 6283/2026

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Wasim Sadiq Nargal, Judge.

ORDER
30.10.2026

01. An application being CM No. 6283/2026 has been filed on behalf of the applicant/private respondent in the main writ petition for placing on record the Consent Order dated 17.04.2026 issued in favour of the respondent-Abdul Gani Ganai, proprietor of M/s Parvaiz Brick Kiln, Ichkoot, Ichgam, District Budgam.

02. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed, and the documents accompanying the application (CM No. 6283/2026) are taken on record with all just exceptions.

03. CM No. 6283/2026 is accordingly **disposed of**.

CCP(S) No. 305/2023:

04. The instant contempt petition has been preferred by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court on 26.04.2023 followed by order dated 12.05.2023 in WP(C) No. 951/2023.

05. The writ petition preferred by the petitioner with specific plea that the private respondents were operating the brick kiln in violation of the provisions of the Jammu and Kashmir Brick Kilns (Regulation) Act and rules framed thereunder, was disposed of in terms of order/judgment dated 26.04.2023 passed by the learned court on the

consensus of the learned counsel for the parties, directing the official respondents to take cognizance in the matter in the light of the report of the field agency, bearing in mind the aforesaid Act and the rules, provided there was no other legal impediment.

06. Mr. Waseem Gul, learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the official respondents fairly submits that in the light of the fresh order of consent to operate issued in favour of the private respondent(s), which has been placed on record along with CM No. 6283/2026, no contempt is made out, and, therefore, prays that the proceedings in the instant contempt petition may be closed as the competent authority has already issued the fresh consent order in favour of the private respondents vide order dated 17.04.2026.

07. This Court is in agreement with the submission advanced by Mr. Waseem Gul, learned Government Advocate that no contempt is made out as the orders passed by this Court stand complied with. Accordingly, in the light of the aforesaid development, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are **closed**. Rule, if any, framed shall stand **discharged**.

HIGH COURT
JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:
30.10.2026
"Hamid"