Regular List
Serial No. 166

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT JAMMU

CRM(M) No. 1237/2026
CrlM No. 2239/2026
c/w

Date of pronouncement : **13.11.2026**
Uploaded on : **16.11.2026**

Huzaifa Bin Age: 20 years
S/o Gazi Ahmed,
R/o Mohalla Shah, Tehsil & Distt. Doda

.....Petitioner

Through:- Petitioner-in-person.

V/s

1. **UT of J&K**
   Through SHO/In-charge Police Station, Bari Brahmana
2. **Krish Dev Singh**, Age: ***
   S/o Mohinder Singh,
   R/o Juthana, Teh. & Distt. Kathua

.....Respondents

Through:- Respondent No. 2-in-person.

CRM(M) No. 1240/2026
CrlM No. 2251/2026

1. **Krish Dev Singh**, Age: 20 years
   S/o Mohinder Singh, R/o Juthana, Teh. & Distt. Kathua.
2. **Sahil Choudhary**, Age: 20 years
   S/o Ghara Ram, R/o Harsa Dabber, Teh. Bishnah, Distt. Jammu.
3. **Vikrant Singh Jamwal**, Age: 19 years
   S/o Sagar Singh, R/o Sewhora, Teh. Bishnah, Distt. Jammu.
4. **Rishav Dev Singh**, Age: 19 years
   S/o Parveen Singh, R/o Bera Dhani, Teh. & Distt. Kathua.
5. **Akshit Rajput**, Age: 21 years
   S/o Ajit Singh, R/o Pallan, Teh. Billawar, Distt. Kathua.
6. **Atif Hanif**, Age: 18 years
   S/o Hanif Mohd., R/o Meen Sarkar, Teh. Bari Brahmana, Distt. Samba.
7. **Gokul Sharma**, Age: 19 years
   S/o Vijay Kumar, R/o Bain Camp, Teh. & Distt. Samba.

.....Petitioners

Through:- Petitioners-in-person.

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
सत्यमेव जयते

V/s

1. **UT of J&K**
   Through SHO/In-charge Police Station, Bari Brahmana

2. **Huzaifa Bin** Age: 20 years
   S/o Gazi Ahmed,
   R/o Mohalla Shah, Tehsil & Distt. Doda

.....Respondents

Through:- Respondent No. 2-in-person.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE

ORDER (ORAL)

01. Parties are present-in-person in both the petitions.

02. *Inter se* the parties, there are stated to be cross FIRs bearing FIR No. 296/2026 and FIR No. 297/2026, registered at Police Station Bari Brahmana, Samba. The parties, who are appearing in person, claim that they have settled both the matters and, thus, seek quashing of the proceedings.

03. FIR No. 297/2026 dated 05.10.2026, registered at Police Station Bari Brahmana, Samba, under Sections 126/2, 115/2, 352 & 351/2 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, relates to various offences involving wrongful restraint, voluntarily causing hurt and criminal intimidation with insult intended to cause annoyance, whereas FIR No. 296/2026, which is also based on the same incident dated 05.10.2026, relates to offences under Sections 115/2, 126/2, 191/2 & 351/2 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, which relate to the offences already mentioned above, in addition to the commission of offences relating to rioting. All the offences carry punishment not exceeding seven years.

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

04. In support of the settlement *inter se* the parties, both the petitioners, that is, the accused persons, and the complainants have made statements on oath before the Registrar Judicial, Jammu, sticking to their respective out-of-court settlements and the compromise executed on 14.10.2026, thereby affirmings the compromise already arrived at.

05. After examining both the petitions, facts and circumstances relating thereto, it appears that the complainants and the accused persons in both the FIRs were studying in Dogra Law College, Bari Brahmana, Samba, where an incident happened on 05.10.2026. A dispute arose between the students and some staff members, which led to acts of intimidation, as well as wrongful restraint and voluntarily causing hurt. The parties appear to have lodged cross FIRs, giving their own versions of the incident dated 05.10.2026. As already discussed above, the offences for which the FIRs were registered did not carry punishment in excess of seven years and, as the parties are students and the incidents are stated to have happened in the heat of passion, over a period of time, the parties have settled the matters by seeking withdrawal of the respective FIRs so that the matter is settled and laid to rest, thereby enabling the petitioners as well as the complainants to pursue their studies in accordance with law.

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

06. The compromise and settlement arrived at between the parties is not one that affects public policy or causes a breach of public peace. As the parties have settled the matter, this Court, in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction, is required to step in so that the parties are not put to further harassment and intimidation once the matter has been amicably settled, leading to the withdrawal of the respective FIRs. The matter is required to be put to rest so as to ensure that the student community, including the petitioners as well as the complainants, enjoys the opportunity to pursue their further studies in a healthy atmosphere without being inimical to its own interests.

07. In that background, both petitions are allowed, and FIR No. 296/2026 and FIR No. 297/2026, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, stand ***quashed***.

08. Both the petitions are, accordingly, ***disposed of*** along with connected applications(s), if any.

(SANJAY PARIHAR)
JUDGE

JAMMU
13.11.2026
Bunty

Whether the order is speaking: **Yes**

Whether the order is reportable: **No**

Bunty Kumar
2026.11.16 11:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document