Serial No. 16

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT JAMMU

Case: - CCP(S) No. 591/2019

Sabir Ali …..Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: None

vs

Anuradha Gupta ….. Respondent(s)

Through: Ms. Saliqa Sheikh, Assisting counsel to
Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
31.08.2026

1. The instant contempt petition arises out of the order and judgment dated 08.12.2017, passed by the writ Court in SWP No. 2909/2017, whereby the writ petition was disposed of in the following terms:

> *"In view of the aforesaid submissions and in the facts of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Jammu to hold an enquiry with regard to the applicability of Government Order No. 288-Edu of 2009 dated 08.04.2009 to the habitation in question and depending upon the result of the enquiry, the DC Jammu shall make recommendations to the Director School Education, Jammu in the case of petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order passed today, who, in turn, shall take a decision on receipt of the recommendations within a period of four weeks thereafter. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. Till the enquiry is concluded, the post in question shall not be filled up. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case of the petitioner.*
> *With the aforesaid writ petition is disposed of along with connected MP."*

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has drawn the attention of this Court to the compliance report dated 30.08.2022. Perusal whereof reveals

that the order and judgment passed by the writ Court stands complied with. It is stated therein that the case of the petitioner, upon examination, was found devoid of any merit and was accordingly rejected by a detailed speaking order bearing No. CEOJ/legal/12169-74 dated 29.08.2022.

3. Having perused the aforesaid compliance report as well as the detailed speaking order, this Court is of the considered view that the directions contained in the order and judgment passed by the writ Court stands duly complied with and this is the precise reason that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents, further submits that, in light of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in the case titled *"**Union Territory of J&K and Ors. vs. Saba Wani"*** decided on 30.04.2026, the instant contempt petition has, in any event, been rendered infructuous and even no further relief can be granted at this stage in light of the aforesaid judgment.

5. In view of the foregoing discussion, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping this contempt petition alive, particularly when the order and judgment passed by the writ Court stands complied with. Accordingly, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are closed. Rule, if any, shall stand discharged.

6. The contempt is disposed of.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

Jammu
31.08.2026
AKHILESH